

# RE: Stormwater Tech Team FSP Meeting Jan 12th

Valerie Oster to: Carl Stivers

Cc: Andy Koulermos, dawns, LindaSC, Ijones, Simon Page, TARNOW Karen E, Kristine Koch

01/04/2007 11:22 AM

Hi all -

There's a room available at Stoel Rives, 900 SW 5th Ave, suite 2600. It will be room CR6.

You can use this call-in number:

Non Responsive

either Carl or Laura should enter the host code).

Please let me know how many will be attending at Stoel, and I will have lunches delivered.

Thanks valerie

Valerie Thompson Oster

Anchor Environmental, L.L.C

6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110

Portland, OR 97224

Phone: 503-670-1108 x19

Cell: 503-577-0254

Fax: 503-670-1128

This electronic message transmission contains information that is a confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at voster@anchorenv.com.

----Original Message----

From: Carl Stivers

Sent: Wednesday, January 03, 2007 4:16 PM

To: Valerie Oster

Cc: Andy Koulermos; dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;

LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;

ljones@integral-corp.com; Simon Page;

TARNOW Karen E; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Stormwater Tech Team FSP Meeting Jan 12th

Valerie -

This LWG/Agency team will be meeting from 10 to 3 pm in Portland, can you suggest a meeting space and conference line?

Carl

Carl Stivers
Anchor Environmental, L.L.C.
1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98101-2226
Phone: 206-287-9130
Fax: 206-287-9131

cstivers@anchorenv.com

This electronic message transmission contains information that is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at (206) 287-9130, or by electronic mail, cstivers@anchorenv.com.

----Original Message----

From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 2:43 PM
To: Carl Stivers
Cc: Andy Koulermos; dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;
LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;

ljones@integral-corp.com; Simon Page;

TARNOW Karen E

Subject: RE: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding issues.

Is this something I can do by conference call or should I attend in person? Either would be ok for me, I just need to know if I should put in for travel.

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup 1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, WA 98101 (206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax)

Carl Stivers
<cstivers@anchor
env.com>

То

TARNOW Karen E

12/21/2006 12:36

<TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us>,

PM

Kristine

Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Andy Koulermos

<akoulermos@newfields.com>

CC

dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us,

LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US,

ljones@integral-corp.com, Simon

<spage@anchorenv.com>

Page

Subject

RE: SW Protocol

Framework -

Outstanding

issues.

OK. Let's say the 12th. Can we start at 10 am and work through lunch so that people don't have a late Friday?

Carl

Carl Stivers Anchor Environmental, L.L.C. 1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98101-2226 Phone: 206-287-9130 Fax: 206-287-9131

#### cstivers@anchorenv.com

This electronic message transmission contains information that is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at (206) 287-9130, or by electronic mail, cstivers@anchorenv.com.

----Original Message---From: TARNOW Karen E [
mailto:TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 12:11 PM
To: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; Andy Koulermos
Cc: Carl Stivers; dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;
LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;
ljones@integral-corp.com; Simon Page
Subject: RE: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding
issues.

I'm OK with holding the mtg on the 12th without me. I can have someone sit in for me, and/or provide my comments ahead of time. I think it's important that Kristine can participate, and that we get this done sooner rather than later.

From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 12:02 PM
To: Andy Koulermos
Cc: 'Carl Stivers'; dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;
LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;
ljones@integral-corp.com; 'Simon Page';
TARNOW Karen E

Subject: RE: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding issues.

What does the next week look like? I'm available the 16, 17, 18, and 19. I do have something scheduled on the 18th, but I can miss it if that is the only time others can meet.

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager

----Original Message----

USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup 1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, WA 98101 (206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax)

Andy Koulermos
<akoulermos@newf
ields.com>

То

'TARNOW Karen

Ε'

12/21/2006 11:58

<TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us>,

AM

'Carl Stivers'

<cstivers@anchorenv.com>,

Kristine

Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us,

LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US,

ljones@integral-corp.com

CC

'Simon Page'

<spage@anchorenv.com>

Subject

RE: SW Protocol

Framework -

Outstanding

issues.

Let the scheduling dance begin. I can't make the 9th or 10th. Other days work.

----Original Message----From: TARNOW Karen E [

mailto:TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us]

Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 12:50 PM

To: Carl Stivers; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; akoulermos@newfields.com;

dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;

LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;

ljones@integral-corp.com

Cc: Simon Page

Subject: RE: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding issues.

The 10th is best for me (preferably not 10-12, but I could make that work if needed).

Next best is Thurs between 12 and 3:00

Third best is Thurs AM

I'm out of town on Friday the 12th

----Original Message----

From: Carl Stivers [mailto:cstivers@anchorenv.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 11:44 AM

To: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; TARNOW Karen E;

akoulermos@newfields.com;

dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;

LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;

ljones@integral-corp.com

Cc: Simon Page

Subject: RE: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding issues.

#### All -

My suggestion would be to have this meeting/call the week of Jan  $8 \, \text{th}$ .

The 10th, 11th or 12th would be the preference. At that point, Anchor  $\,$ 

will have a reasonable draft FSP (there may be a few blanks) that we can  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

pass around and discuss. In the meantime, I will use this comment list

to understand any areas that might be impacted by the outstanding issues  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

in the FSP and highlight those areas.

I think our goal of the meeting would be to finalize any outstanding

technical issues so that any gaps in the FSP can be written up and a  $\,$ 

draft SAP can be submitted to managers for approval with a week after  $\$ 

the meeting. This will require a fairly short review cycle of the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FSP}}$ 

for the tech team--like a few days. But my hope is that there will not

be any major issues with the FSP since the framework pretty well already fleshes it out.

In the mean time we are working with various parties to schedule initial

recons of the sites in this same January time frame.

We are also ordering major equipment.

Note I have copied Simon Page of Anchor on this email and he will also attend the meeting/call since he is taking the lead on the FSP development. Please continue to copy him on any meeting arrangement or FSP related issues.

Thanks.

Carl Stivers
Anchor Environmental, L.L.C.
1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98101-2226
Phone: 206-287-9130
Fax: 206-287-9131

cstivers@anchorenv.com

This electronic message transmission contains information that is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at (206) 287-9130, or by electronic mail, cstivers@anchorenv.com.

----Original Message---From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2006 10:28 AM
To: TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us;
akoulermos@newfields.com;
dawns@bes.ci.portland.or.us;
LindaSC@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US;
ljones@integral-corp.com; Carl Stivers
Subject: SW Protocol Framework - Outstanding issues.

All - here are the outstanding comments. Please look them over and see which ones are still an issue. I am available to discuss Jan 2, 3, and 5. Let me know your availability for those dates ASAP because I leave at 3:30 today and will not return until Jan 2 (unless someone else wants to take the lead in setting up a meeting). I've attached the most recent version of the document for your review.

(See attached file: Storm water protocol framework.doc)

Background

1. Last paragraph, 3rd sentence, "The recommended data source for

flow volumes (e.g. measured, modeled, or
calculated) is under
 discussion."

KK comment: We need to resolve this issue.

2. Last paragraph, last sentence "...(e.g., catch
basin solids and
 water grab samples)."

COP comment: Not sure that this means. Implies that we will use the

screening level data will be used for loading? We should discuss

this more – I think as written it may cause confusion.

Objectives for Developing Storm Water Protocol Framework

3. Items 8 & 9

LS comment: Can these two sections be combined?

4. Item 10

LS comment: Insert "priority"

KK response: I did not do this because it is discussed under item 12.

Design and Field Requirements

5. Title

AK comment: Insert "for Sampling Locations" at end of title.

KK response: Changed title to "Monitoring Location Design and Field Requirements".

6. Second paragraph.

LS comment: After 1st sentence, replace remainder of paragraph with

"Key considerations for finalizing monitoring locations include

representativeness, access, structure (e.g. pipe material, depth),

equipment orientation and security, and

site-specific conditions such

as river backflow, groundwater infiltration and inflow (I/I), and  $% \left( 1/1\right) =\left( 1/1$ 

 ${\tt non\text{-}stormwater}$  discharges (e.g.  ${\tt non\text{-}contact}$  cooling water, base

flow). Depending on the discharge, the sample plan may need to

include collection of information on the nature, amount and timing of

non-storm water discharges in the collection system.

water than just rain; there are other source potentials than just the

water running into the drain.", but removed the remainder of the  $\ensuremath{\text{c}}$ 

paragraph and replaced with suggested language.

7. Fourth paragraph, first sentence "...(e.g., field testing or field inspection)..."

LS comment: Delete "testing or field".

KK response: I left this in because it may take more than a field  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +$ 

inspection, e.g., dye test, smoke, etc., which are field tests, to

determine drain structure.

8. Fourth paragraph, 2nd & 3rd sentences.

LS comment: Delete these sentences.

KK response: I kept these sentences, but made Laura's changes and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$ 

did delete my question about needing to specify minimum pipe size.

Sample Type

9. Whole Water Phase, first paragraph, last sentence, "Discussions

are underway to identify some site-specific filtered and unfiltered

data combinations that will be necessary to validate the model

partitioning assumptions."

KK comment: We need to resolve this issue.

Frequency of Sampling

10. First paragraph, 2nd sentence, "...(e.g., October through May)."

LS comment: Replace October with March.

 $\mbox{KK comment:} \mbox{ I did not make this change because the storm season is }$ 

from October through March, we are only planning to capture a portion  $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$ 

of the storm season from March through May.

### 11. Solids phase.

KK comment: I removed the first part of the second sentence and

replaced with "Therefore, only one composite sample will be collected

and analyzed to represent the 2006/2007 storm year." Duration is

discussed in next section.

## Storm/Flow Requirements

12. First paragraph, 5th sentence, "To determine this, a facility

should observe the record the time it began raining as well as the  $\,$ 

time you stopped sampling: a simple, inexpensive rain gauge and a

watch will give you this information (i.e., water level in rain gauge

and time when sampling began and stopped)."

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LS}}$  comment: Can we simplify this to say a storm with a predicted

volume of at least 0.2" and a minimum duration of 3 hours?

LS comment: I don't think this is the intent of the storm criteria.

We are trying to avoid sampling events that are less than  $3\ \text{hours}$ 

duration, due to sample volume requirements, representativeness, and

the risks of mobilizing for tiny rain events. The criteria are

intended to target certain minimum storm sizes not intensities.

# List of Chemicals

13. Last sentence, "Specific chemical analytes
are provided in Table
3."

LS comment: Delete "chemical" and replace with "storm water and storm solids".

KK response: I deleted "chemical" and replaced with "storm water"; I  $\;$ 

added "for water and solids" after analytes.

Analytical Methods, Detection Limits, and Sample Size  $\,$ 

phthalates are a significant enough COI for storm water to warrant  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

the additional sample collection and analytical expenses.  $\mbox{\tt "}$ 

KK comment: We need to resolve this issue.

Analysis of PCB Congeners versus Aroclors

LJ - Check revised language and see if you still have concerns.

QA/QC

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{LJ}}$  - Check current language and see if it needs to be updated.

Table 2

This table will need to be updated based on response from PH managers.

Kristine Koch Remedial Project Manager USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup 1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, WA 98101 (206)553-6705 (206)553-0124 (fax)